



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/867,791	05/29/2001	David Boreham	13220.021001;PS5834	7719
32615 7.	590 11/10/2004	EXAMINER		INER
OSHA & MAY L.L.P./SUN			LU, KUEN S	
HOUSTON, T	NEY, SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001011, 1	71 / 1010		2167	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	<del>                                      </del>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/867,791	BOREHAM ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuen S Lu	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	n n			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See M R 1.136(a) and the appropriate	MPEP extension			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final Office	action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplify	ring the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amer	ndment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place	ce the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were new	⁄ly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			n			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: see continuation sheet						

Continuation of 10. Other: The newly presented arguments filed on 10/4/2004 are acknowledged and considered, however, they are not persuasive and presented in a timely fashion for the Examiner to rely on. For after final rejection practice relative to affidavits or declarations filed under 37 CFR 1.131 and 1.132, please see MPEP § 715.09 and § 716.

Kuen S. Lu Lun Patent Examiner November 2, 2004 Luke Wassum
Primary Examiner
November 2, 2004